

**§ 989.33 Requirements for analysis abroad.**

The EPF will generally perform the same functions for analysis of actions abroad that it performs in the United States. In addition to the requirements of 32 CFR Part 187, the following Air Force specific rules apply:

(a) For EAs dealing with global commons, HQ USAF/CEV will review actions that are above the MAJCOM approval authority. In this instance, approval authority refers to the same approval authority that would apply to an EA in the United States. The EPF documents a decision not to do an EIS.

(b) For EISs dealing with the global commons, the EPF provides sufficient copies to HQ USAF/CEV for the HQ USAF EPC review and AFCEE/EC technical review. After EPC review, the EPF makes a recommendation as to whether the proposed draft EIS will be released as a draft EIS.

(c) For environmental studies and environmental reviews, forward all environmental studies and reviews to HQ USAF/CEV for coordination among appropriate Federal agencies. HQ USAF/CEV makes environmental studies and reviews available to the Department of State and other interested Federal agencies, and, on request, to the United States public, in accordance with 32 CFR Part 187. HQ USAF/CEV also may inform interested foreign governments or furnish copies of studies, in accordance with 32 CFR Part 187.

**ATTACHMENT 1 TO PART 989—GLOSSARY OF REFERENCES, ABBREVIATIONS, ACRONYMS, AND TERMS**

**References**

**Legislative**

10 U.S.C. 2687, *Base closures and realignments*  
42 U.S.C. 4321–4347, *National Environmental Policy Act of 1969*

42 U.S.C. 7506(c), *Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990*

42 U.S.C. 13101(b), *Pollution Prevention Act of 1990*

43 U.S.C. 155–158, *Engle Act*

**Executive Orders**

Executive Order 11988, *Floodplain Management*, May 24, 1977 (3 CFR, 1977 Comp., p. 117)

Executive Order 11990, *Protection of Wetlands*, May 24, 1977 (3 CFR, 1977 Comp., p. 121)

Executive Order 12114, *Environmental Effects Abroad of Major Federal Actions*, January 4, 1979 (3 CFR, 1979 Comp., p. 356)

Executive Order 12372, *Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs*, July 14, 1982 (3 CFR, 1982 Comp., p. 197)

**US Government Agency Publications**

*Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act*, 40 CFR Parts 1500–1508

DoD Instruction 5000.2, *Defense Acquisition Management Policies and Procedures*, February 23, 1991, with Change 1, and Air Force Supplement 1, *Acquisition Management Policies*, 31 August 1993, with Change 1

DoD Manual 5000.2-M, *Defense Acquisition Management Documentation and Reports*, February 1991

DoD Directive 6050.1, *Environmental Effects in the United States of DoD Actions*, July 30, 1979 (32 CFR Part 188)

DoD Directive 6050.7, *Environmental Effects Abroad of Major Department of Defense Actions*, March 31, 1979 (32 CFR Part 187)

**Air Force Publications**

AFPD 32-70, *Environmental Quality*

AFI 32-1021, *Planning and Programming of Facility Construction Projects*

AFI 32-7002, *Environmental Information Management System*

AFI 32-7062, *Air Force Comprehensive Planning*

AFI 32-7064, *Integrated Resources Management*

AFI 32-7080, *Pollution Prevention Program*

AFI 35-202, *Environmental Community Involvement*

AFMAN 37-139, *Records Disposition—Standards*

**Abbreviations and Acronyms**

*Abbreviation or acronym Definition*

AFCEE Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence

AFCEE/EC Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence/Environmental Conservation and Planning Directorate

AFI Air Force Instruction

AFLSA/JACE Air Force Legal Services Agency/Environmental Law and Litigation Division

AFLSA/JAJT Air Force Legal Services Agency/Trial Judiciary Division

AFMAN Air Force Manual

AFMOA/SG Air Force Medical Operations Agency/Aerospace Medicine Office

AFPD Air Force Policy Directive

AFRES Air Force Reserve

ANG Air National Guard

ANGRC Air National Guard Readiness Center

CATEX Categorical Exclusion

CEQ Council on Environmental Quality

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

DAB Defense Acquisition Board

DoD Department of Defense

DoDD Department of Defense Directive

DoDM Department of Defense Manual

DOPAA Description of Proposed Action and Alternatives

EA Environmental Assessment

EIAP Environmental Impact Analysis Process  
 EIS Environmental Impact Statement  
 E.O. Executive Order  
 EPA Environmental Protection Agency  
 EPC Environmental Protection Committee  
 EPF Environmental Planning Function  
 FAA Federal Aviation Administration  
 FEIS Final Environmental Impact Statement  
 FOA Field Operating Agency  
 FONPA Finding of No Practicable Alternative  
 FONSI Finding of No Significant Impact  
 GSA General Services Administration  
 HQ AFMC Headquarters, Air Force Materiel Command  
 HQ USAF Headquarters, United States Air Force  
 HQ USAF/CE The Air Force Civil Engineer  
 MAJCOM Major Command  
 MOA Military Operating Area  
 MOU Memorandum of Understanding  
 MSL Mean Sea Level  
 NEPA National Environmental Policy Act of 1969  
 NGB-CF National Guard Bureau Air Directorate  
 NGB-JA National Guard Bureau Office of the Staff Judge Advocate  
 NGB-PA National Guard Bureau Office of Public Affairs  
 NOA Notice of Availability  
 NOI Notice of Intent  
 OSD Office of the Secretary of Defense  
 OSHA Occupational Safety and Health Administration  
 PDEIS Preliminary Draft Environmental Impact Statement  
 RCO Air Force Regional Compliance Office  
 ROD Record of Decision  
 SAF/GC Air Force General Counsel  
 SAF/LL Air Force Office of Legislative Liaison  
 SAF/MI Assistant Secretary of the Air Force for Manpower, Reserve Affairs, Installations, and Environment  
 SAF/MIQ Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Environment, Safety, and Occupational Health)  
 SAF/PA Air Force Office of Public Affairs  
 SJA Staff Judge Advocate  
 SPOC Single Point of Contact  
 TDY Temporary Duty  
 U.S.C. United States Code  
 WIMS-ES Work Information Management System-Environmental Subsystem Terms

NOTE: All terms listed in the CEQ Regulations, 40 CFR Part 1508, apply to this part. In addition, the following terms apply:

*Description of Proposed Action and Alternatives (DOPAA)*— An Air Force document that is the framework for assessing the environmental impact of a proposal. It describes the purpose and need for the action, the al-

ternatives to be considered, and the rationale used to arrive at the proposed action.

*Environmental Impact Analysis Process (EIAP)*— The Air Force program that implements the requirements of NEPA and requirements for analysis of environmental effects abroad under E.O. 12114.

*Finding of No Practicable Alternative (FONPA)*— Documentation according to Executive Orders 11988 and 11990 that explains why there are no practicable alternatives to an action affecting a wetland or floodplain, based on appropriate EIAP analysis or other documentation.

*Interdisciplinary*— An approach to environmental analysis involving more than one discipline or branch of learning.

*National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA)*— The basic national charter to protect the environment that requires all Federal agencies to consider environmental impacts when making decisions regarding proposed actions.

*Pollution Prevention*—“Source reduction”, as defined under the Pollution Prevention Act, and other practices that reduce or eliminate pollutants through increased efficiency in the use of raw materials, energy, water, or other resources, or in the protection of natural resources by conservation.

*Proponent*— Any office, unit, or activity that proposes to initiate an action.

*Scoping*— A public process for proposing alternatives to be addressed and for identifying the significant issues related to a proposed action.

*United States*— All states, commonwealths, the District of Columbia, territories and possessions of the United States, and all waters and airspace subject to the territorial jurisdiction of the United States. The territories and possessions of the United States include the Virgin Islands, American Samoa, Wake Island, Midway Island, Guam, Palmyra Island, Johnston Atoll, Navassa Island, and Kingman Reef.

#### ATTACHMENT 2 TO PART 989— CATEGORICAL EXCLUSIONS

**A2.2 Proponent/EPF Responsibility.** Although a proposed action may qualify for a categorical exclusion from the requirements for environmental impact analysis under NEPA, this exclusion does not relieve the EPF or the proponent of responsibility for complying with all other environmental requirements related to the proposal, including requirements for permits, state regulatory agency review of plans, and so on.

**A2.2. Additional Analysis.** Circumstances may arise in which usually categorically excluded actions may have a significant environmental impact and, therefore, may generate a requirement for further environmental analysis. Examples of situations

where such unique circumstances may be present include:

A2.2.1. Actions of greater scope or size than generally experienced for a particular category of action.

A2.2.2. Potential for degradation (even though slight) of already marginal or poor environmental conditions.

A2.2.3. Initiating a degrading influence, activity, or effect in areas not already significantly modified from their natural condition.

A2.2.4. Use of unproven technology.

A2.2.5. Use of hazardous or toxic substances that may come in contact with the surrounding environment.

A2.2.6. Presence of threatened or endangered species, archaeological remains, historical sites, or other protected resources.

A2.2.7. Proposals adversely affecting areas of critical environmental concern, such as prime or unique agricultural lands, wetlands, coastal zones, wilderness areas, floodplains, or wild and scenic river areas.

A2.3. CATEX List. Actions that are categorically excluded in the absence of unique circumstances are:

A2.3.1. Routine procurement of goods and services.

A2.3.2. Routine Commissary and Exchange operations.

A2.3.3. Routine recreational and welfare activities.

A2.3.4. Normal personnel, fiscal or budgeting, and administrative activities and decisions including those involving military and civilian personnel (for example, recruiting, processing, paying, and records keeping).

A2.3.5. Preparing, revising, or adopting regulations, instructions, directives, or guidance documents that do not, themselves, result in an action being taken.

A2.3.6. Preparing, revising, or adopting regulations, instructions, directives, or guidance documents that implement (without substantial change) the regulations, instructions, directives, or guidance documents from higher headquarters or other Federal agencies with superior subject matter jurisdiction.

A2.3.7. Continuation or resumption of pre-existing actions, where there is no substantial change in existing conditions or existing land uses and where the actions were originally evaluated in accordance with applicable law and regulations, and surrounding circumstances have not changed.

A2.3.8. Performing interior and exterior construction within the 5-foot line of a building without changing the land use of the existing building.

A2.3.9. Repairing and replacing real property installed equipment.

A2.3.10. Routine facility maintenance and repair that does not involve disturbing significant quantities of hazardous materials such as asbestos.

A2.3.11. Actions similar to other actions which have been determined to have an insignificant impact in a similar setting as established in an EIS or an EA resulting in a FONSI. The EPF must document application of this CATEX on AF Form 813, specifically identifying the previous Air Force approved environmental document which provides the basis for this determination.

A2.3.12. Installing, operating, modifying, and routinely repairing and replacing utility and communications systems, data processing cable, and similar electronic equipment that use existing rights of way, easements, distribution systems, or facilities.

A2.3.13. Installing or modifying airfield operational equipment (such as runway visual range equipment, visual glide path systems, and remote transmitter or receiver facilities) on airfield property and usually accessible only to maintenance personnel.

A2.3.14. Installing on previously developed land, equipment that does not substantially alter land use (i.e., land use of more than one acre). This includes outgrants to private lessees for similar construction. The EPF must document application of this CATEX on AF Form 813.

A2.3.15. Laying-away or mothballing a production facility or adopting a reduced maintenance level at a closing installation when (1) agreement on any required historic preservation effort has been reached with the state historic preservation officer and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, and (2) no degradation in the environmental restoration program will occur.

A2.3.16. Acquiring land and ingranths (50 acres or less) for activities otherwise subject to CATEX. The EPF must document application of this CATEX on AF Form 813.

A2.3.17. Transferring land, facilities, and personal property for which the General Services Administration (GSA) is the action agency. Such transfers are excluded only if there is no change in land use and GSA complies with its NEPA requirements.

A2.3.18. Transferring administrative control of real property within the Air Force or to another military department or to another Federal agency, including returning public domain lands to the Department of the Interior.

A2.3.19. Granting easements, leases, licenses, rights of entry, and permits to use Air Force controlled property for activities that, if conducted by the Air Force, could be categorically excluded in accordance with this attachment. The EPF must document application of this CATEX on AF Form 813.

A2.3.20. Converting in-house services to contract services.

A2.3.21. Routine personnel decreases and increases, including work force conversion to either on-base contractor operation or to

military operation from contractor operation (excluding base closure and realignment actions which are subject to congressional reporting under 10 U.S.C. § 2687).

A2.3.22. Routine, temporary movement of personnel, including deployments of personnel on a temporary duty (TDY) basis where existing facilities are used.

A2.3.23. Personnel reductions resulting from workload adjustments, reduced personnel funding levels, skill imbalances, or other similar causes.

A2.3.24. Study efforts that involve no commitment of resources other than personnel and funding allocations.

A2.3.25. The analysis and assessment of the natural environment without altering it (inspections, audits, surveys, investigations). This CATEX includes the granting of any permits necessary for such surveys, provided that the technology or procedure involved is well understood and there are no adverse environmental impacts anticipated from it. The EPF must document application of this CATEX on AF Form 813.

A2.3.26. Undertaking specific investigatory activities to support remedial action activities for purposes of cleanup of hazardous spillage or waste sites or contaminated groundwater or soil. These activities include soil borings and sampling, installation, and operation of test or monitoring wells. This CATEX applies to studies that assist in determining final cleanup actions when they are conducted in accordance with inter-agency agreements, administrative orders, or work plans previously agreed to by EPA or state regulators. *Note:* This CATEX does not apply to the selection of the remedial action.

A2.3.27. Normal or routine basic and applied scientific research confined to the laboratory and in compliance with all applicable safety, environmental, and natural resource conservation laws.

A2.3.28. Routine transporting of hazardous materials and wastes in accordance with applicable Federal, state, interstate, and local laws.

A2.3.29. Emergency handling and transporting of small quantities of chemical surety material or suspected chemical surety material, whether or not classified as hazardous or toxic waste, from a discovery site to a permitted storage, treatment, or disposal facility.

A2.3.30. Immediate responses to the release or discharge of oil or hazardous materials in accordance with an approved Spill Prevention and Response Plan or Spill Contingency Plan or that are otherwise consistent with the requirements of the National Contingency Plan. Long-term cleanup and remediation activities should be evaluated separately.

A2.3.31. Relocating a small number of aircraft to an installation with similar aircraft

that does not result in a significant increase of total flying hours or the total number of aircraft operations, a change in flight tracks, or an increase in permanent personnel or logistics support requirements at the receiving installation.

A2.3.32. Temporary (for less than 30 days) increases in air operations up to 50 percent of the typical installation aircraft operation rate or increases of 50 operations a day, whichever is greater.

A2.3.33. Flying activities that comply with the Federal aviation regulations, that are dispersed over a wide area and that do not frequently (more than once a day) pass near the same ground points. This CATEX does not cover regular activity on established routes or within special use airspace.

A2.3.34. Supersonic flying operations over land and above 30,000 feet MSL, or over water and above 10,000 feet MSL and more than 15 nautical miles from land.

A2.3.35. Formal requests to the FAA, or host-nation equivalent agency, to establish or modify special use airspace (for example, restricted areas, warning areas, military operating areas) and military training routes for subsonic operations that have a base altitude of 3,000 feet above ground level or higher. The EPF must document application of this CATEX on AF Form 813, which must accompany the request to the FAA.

A2.3.36. Adopting airfield approach, departure, and en route procedures that do not route air traffic over noise-sensitive areas, including residential neighborhoods or cultural, historical, and outdoor recreational areas. The EPF may categorically exclude such air traffic patterns at or greater than 3,000 feet above ground level regardless of underlying land use.

A2.3.37. Participating in "air shows" and fly-overs by Air Force aircraft at non-Air Force public events after obtaining FAA coordination and approval.

A2.3.38. Conducting Air Force "open houses" and similar events, including air shows, golf tournaments, home shows, and the like, where crowds gather at an Air Force installation, so long as crowd and traffic control, etc., have not in the past presented significant safety or environmental impacts.

#### ATTACHMENT 3 TO PART 989—PROCEDURES FOR HOLDING PUBLIC HEARINGS ON DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS (EIS)

##### A.3.1. General Information:

A.3.1.1. The Air Force solicits the views of the public and special interest groups and, in appropriate cases, holds public hearings on the draft EIS.

A.3.1.2. The Office of the Judge Advocate General, through the Air Force Legal Services Agency/Trial Judiciary Division

(AFLSA/JAJT) and its field organization, is responsible for conducting public hearings.

A3.1.3. The proponent EPF establishes the date and location, arranges for hiring the court reporter, funds temporary duty costs for the hearing officer, makes logistical arrangements (for example, publishing notices, arranging for press coverage, obtaining tables and chairs, etc.), and forwards the transcripts of the hearings to AFLSA/JAJT.

A3.2. Notice of Hearing (40 CFR 1506.6):

A3.2.1. Public Affairs officers:

A3.2.1.1. Announce public hearings and assemble a mailing list of individuals to be invited.

A3.2.1.2. Distribute announcements of a hearing to all interested individuals and agencies, including the print and electronic media.

A3.2.1.3. Under certain circumstances, purchase an advertisement announcing the time and place of the hearing as well as other pertinent particulars.

A3.2.1.4. Distribute the notice in a timely manner so it will reach recipients or be published at least 15 days before the hearing date. Distribute notices fewer than 15 days before the hearing date when you have substantial justification and if the justification for a shortened notice period appears in the notice.

A3.2.2. If an action has effects of national concern, publish notices in the FEDERAL REGISTER and mail notices to national organizations that have an interest in the matter.

A3.2.2.1. Because of the longer lead time required by the FEDERAL REGISTER, send out notices for publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER to arrive at HQ USAF/CEV no later than 30 days before the hearing date.

A3.2.3. The notice should include:

A3.2.3.1. Date, time, place, and subject of the hearing.

A3.2.3.2. A description of the general format of the hearing.

A3.2.3.3. The name and telephone number of a person to contact for more information.

A3.2.3.4. The request that speakers submit (in writing or by return call) their intention to participate, with an indication of which environmental impact (or impacts) they wish to address.

A3.2.3.5. Any limitation on the length of oral statements.

A3.2.3.6. A suggestion that speakers submit statements of considerable length in writing.

A3.2.3.7. A summary of the proposed action.

A3.2.3.8. The offices or location where the Draft EIS and any appendices are available for examination.

A3.3. Availability of the Draft EIS to the Public. The EPF makes copies of the Draft EIS available to the public at an Air Force installation or other suitable place in the vicinity of the proposed action and public hearing.

A3.4. Place of the Hearing. The EPF arranges to hold the hearing at a time and place and in an area readily accessible to military and civilian organizations and individuals interested in the proposed action. Generally, the EPF should arrange to hold the hearing in an off-base civilian facility, which is more accessible to the public.

A3.5. Hearing Officer:

A3.5.1. The AFLSA/JAJT selects a judge advocate, who is a military judge with experience in conducting public meetings, to preside over hearings. The hearing officer does not need to have personal knowledge of the project, other than familiarity with the Draft EIS. In no event should the hearing officer be the Staff Judge Advocate of the proponent command, have participated personally in the development of the project, or have rendered legal advice or assistance with respect to it (or be expected to do so in the future). The principal qualification of the hearing officer should be the ability to conduct a hearing as an impartial participant.

A3.5.2. The primary duties of the hearing officer are to make sure that the hearing is orderly, is recorded, and that interested parties have a reasonable opportunity to speak. The presiding officer should direct the speakers' attention to the purpose of the hearing, which is to consider the environmental impacts of the proposed project. Each speaker should have a time limit to provide maximum public input to the decision-maker.

A3.6. Record of the Hearing. The hearing officer must make sure a verbatim transcribed record of the hearing is prepared, including all stated positions, all questions, and all responses. The hearing officer should append all written submissions that parties provide to the hearing officer during the hearing to the record as attachments. The hearing officer should also append a list of persons who spoke at the hearing and submitted written comments and a list of the organizations or interests they represent with addresses. The hearing officer must make sure a verbatim transcript of the hearing is provided to the EPF for inclusion as an appendix to the Final EIS. The officer should also ensure that all persons who request a copy of the transcript get a copy when it is completed. Copying charges are determined according to 40 CFR 1506.6(f).

A3.7. Hearing Format. Use the format outlined below as a general guideline for conducting a hearing. Hearing officers should tailor the format to meet the hearing objectives. These objectives provide information to the public, record opinions of interested persons on environmental impacts of the proposed action, and set out alternatives for improving the EIS and for later consideration.

A3.7.1. Organizing Speakers by Subject. If time and circumstances permit, the hearing

officer should group speakers by subject matter. For example, all persons wishing to address water quality issues should make their presentations one after the other so the EIS preparation team can review the transcript and make summaries from it more easily.

A3.7.2. Record of Attendees. The hearing officer should make a list of all persons who wish to speak at the hearing to help the hearing officer in calling on these individuals, to ensure an accurate transcript of the hearing, and to enable the officer to send a copy of the Final EIS (40 CFR §1502.19) to any person, organization, or agency that provided substantive comments at the hearing. The hearing officer should assign assistants to the entrance of the hearing room to provide cards on which individuals can voluntarily write their names, addresses, telephone numbers, organizations they represent, and titles; whether they desire to make a statement at the hearing; and what environmental area(s) they wish to address. The hearing officer can then use the cards to call on individuals who desire to make statements. However, the hearing officer will not deny entry to the hearing or the right to speak to people who decline to submit this information on cards.

A3.7.3. Introductory Remarks. The hearing officer should first introduce himself or herself and the EIS preparation team. Then the hearing officer should make a brief statement on the purpose of the hearing and give the general ground rules on how it will be conducted. This is the proper time to welcome any dignitaries who are present. The hearing officer should explain that he or she does not make any recommendation or decision on whether the proposed project should be continued, modified, or abandoned or how the EIS should be prepared.

A3.7.4. Explanation of the Proposed Action. The Air Force EIS preparation team representative should next explain the proposed action, the alternatives, the potential environmental consequences, and the EIAP.

A3.7.5. Questions by Attendees. After the EIS team representative explains the proposed action, alternatives, and consequences, the hearing officer should give attendees a chance to ask questions to clarify points they may not have understood. The hearing officer may have to reply in writing, at a later date, to some of the questions. While the Air Force EIS preparation team should be as responsive as possible in answering questions about the proposal, they should not become involved in debate with questioners over the merits of the proposed action. Cross-examination of speakers, either those of the Air Force or the public, is not the purpose of an informal hearing. If necessary, the hearing officer may limit questioning or conduct portions of the hearing to ensure proper lines of inquiry. However, the

hearing officer should include all questions in the hearing record.

A3.7.6. Statement of Attendees. The hearing officer must give the persons attending the hearing a chance to present oral or written statements. The hearing officer should be sure the recorder has the name and address of each person who submits an oral or written statement. The officer should also permit the attendees to submit written statements within a reasonable time, usually two weeks, following the hearing. The officer should allot a reasonable length of time at the hearing for receiving oral statements. The officer may waive any announced time limit at his or her discretion. The hearing officer may allow those who have not previously indicated a desire to speak to identify themselves and be recognized only after those who have previously indicated their intentions to speak have spoken.

A3.7.7. Ending or Extending a Hearing. The hearing officer has the power to end the hearing if the hearing becomes disorderly, if the speakers become repetitive, or for other good cause. In any such case, the hearing officer must make a statement for the record on the reasons for terminating the hearing. The hearing officer may also extend the hearing beyond the originally announced date and time. The officer should announce the extension to a later date or time during the hearing and prior to the hearing if possible.

A3.8. Adjourning the Hearing. After all persons have had a chance to speak, when the hearing has culled a representative view of public opinion, or when the time set for the hearing and any reasonable extension of time has ended, the hearing officer adjourns the hearing. In certain circumstances (for example, if the hearing officer believes it is likely that some participants will introduce new and relevant information), the hearing officer may justify scheduling an additional, separate hearing session. If the hearing officer makes the decision to hold another hearing while presiding over the original hearing he or she should announce that another public hearing will be scheduled or is under consideration. The officer gives notice of a decision to continue these hearings in essentially the same way he or she announced the original hearing, time permitting. The Public Affairs officer provides the required public notices and directs notices to interested parties in coordination with the hearing officer. Because of lead time constraints, SAF/MIQ may waive FEDERAL REGISTER notice requirements or advertisements in local publications. At the conclusion of the hearing, the hearing officer should inform the attendees of the deadline (usually 2 weeks) to submit additional written remarks in the hearing record. The officer should also notify attendees of the deadline for the commenting period of the Draft EIS.

Subtitle B—Other Regulations  
Relating to National  
Defense